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## FIDE Wch, Round 2

A11 four games of Round 2 ended in draws. The meeting of the leaders,

Topalov-Anand lasted seven hours and became extremely dramatic. See our annotated game for highlights of this encounter. The other three games were not uneventful, but the fight was less tense there. Kasimdzhanov, playing White against Svidler, agreed a draw on move 24 in an acute, but, as it seems, somewhat better for him endgame. Adams showed in his game against Polgar a curious novelty in the Taimanov Sicilian (bishop h5 check!) and gradually obtained a strategically superior position. However, Polgar defended well and Adams did not find a good way to develop his initiative. Playing against Morozevich, Leko once again missed his chances in an open Sicilian with White. The game was quite complicated: Morozevich
sacrificed a pawn and had certain compensation, but after Black's unsuccessful $26^{\text {th }}$ move White got a clear advantage. But then Peter played not so confidently, and spoiled the advantage (together with his extra pawn) within some ten moves. Probably it was Morozevich who had more chances for a win in the final part of this long game, but, as in the other games, everything ended peacefully. Brief notes to the games can be found in our gamefiles.

## Round 2 results:

Kasimdzhanov - Svidler $1 / 2-1 / 2$
Adams - Polgar $1 / 2-1 / 2$
Topalov - Anand $1 / 2-1 / 2$
Leko - Morozevich $1 / 2-1 / 2$

## Standings after 2 rounds:

Anand and Topalov-11/2, Adams, Kasimdzhanov, Morozevich and Svidler - 1, Leko and Polgar - 1/2.

## Round 3 pairings:

Anand-Adams
Morozevich-Topalov
Polgar-Kasimdzhanov
Svidler-Leko
Official site

## Championship on the Web

The World Championship is actively covered at various popular web sites, including TWIC, ChessBase, and ChessPro (Russian and English!).

Curiously, GM Shipov in his notes to Round 1 games, found a possible way to a perpetual check from the final position of Svidler-Adams, where the opponents agreed for a draw (24...Rb1 25.Qe2 Rfb8 26.Ra1 R1b2 27.Qxa6 Bxh2+ 28.Kxh2 Qh4+ 29.Kg1 Qxf2+ 30.Kh1 Qh4+).

del 27 de Septiembre al I6 de Octubre de 2005

As usual, ICC (chessclub.com) provides live coverage of the games. More than two thousand visitors watched the queen endgame of the Topalov-Anand game.

## XIV Monarch Assurance Open

Two Alexanders，Shabalov and Areshchenko，are leading after 6 rounds with 5 points．They will face each other on the top board in Round 7.

## Round 6 top results：

Shabalov－Kobalia $1 / 2-1 / 2$
Areshchenko－Postny 1－0
Korneev－Gormally $1 / 2-1 / 2$
Ikonnikov－Mikhalevski $1 / 2-1 / 2$

## Round 7 top pairings：

Shabalov（5）－Areshchenko（5）
Kobalia（ $4^{1} / 2$ ）－Korneev（ $41 / 2$ ）
Erenburg（ $41 / 2$ ）－Galkin（ $41 / 2$ ）
Kritz（41／2）－Ikonnikov（41／2）
Gormally（ $41 / 2$ ）－Kuzubov（4）
Official site

## $15^{\text {th }}$ World Senior Championship

The championship takes place in Lignano，Italy from 28 September till 9 October．There are 140 participants． Top players are GMs Jansa，Vasiukov and Klovans．After two rounds，there are 25 players with a perfect score． Detailed coverage of the event is provided at：schach．wienerzeitung．at

## Annotated Game

by GM Mikhail Golubev


White：V．Topalov<br>Black：V．Anand

WCh－FIDE San Luis
ARG（2），
29．09．2005
Queen＇s Indian， 4．g3－［E15］
$1 . \mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{Of6} 2 . \mathrm{c} 4$ e6 3． $2 f 3 \quad \mathrm{~b} 6$ 4．g3 是 16 5．b3 具b4＋6．d2

In Sofia Mtel Masters tournament，Topalov brilliantly defeated Anand after 7． 0 c 3 c6 8．e4 d5 9．．．c2 dxe4 10．0xe4 b7 11．0eg5！c5 12．d5 exd5 13．cxd5 h6 14．0xf7！！ckxf7 $15.0-0-0 \overline{\bar{\infty}}$ ，etc．But now Veselein goes for a main line．

営c8 13．e4（D）


On the board is an important theoretical tabia，which is often tested at the highest levels．Black can hope only for equality，but he has a reasonable chance of achieving it．
13．．．c5
Here $13 \ldots$ ．．．b5 is a major alternative．In the latest top game，Bacrot－Adams， Dortmund 2005，Black faced problems．
$14 . e x d 5$ exd5 15．dxc5 dxc4 16．c6 cxb3 17．Пle1 b2！
This intermediate move was introduced in 1997 and replaced the old，unsafe continuation 17．．． B 5 ．

## 18．xb2 ©c5 19．©c 4

Another known line is 19． a 3 置6！ 20．Xc5 bxc5 21．日c 1 g5！（21．．．b5？ 22．Exc ${ }^{1 / 2}-1 / 2$ Shneider－Anand，Bastia rapid 2000 22．．． $\begin{gathered}\text { ubb6 } 23.0 e 4 ~ 07 ~\end{gathered}$
旦h8 27．gh5＋－Rebel12－Fritz6， Computer tournament，Cadaqu 2000 or 27．留f5＋－） $22 . \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{f}$ 23．Bh b
 symbolic White advantage （cmarine200－lazer， www．playchess．de 2001）．
19．．． DC 4 20．씁g4 g 5 21．씁xc4 Qd3 22．a3

In the past，the main attention was focused on 22．© 0 xe1 23． $\mathrm{Exe1}$昷f6！24．xf6（24．h3 씁d2！25．．ef1 \＃̈ce8 26．c7？
 28．c8甾 聯e1＋29．읍g2
 Komarov）24．．． $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mxf6（ } 1 / 2-2-1 / 2)\end{aligned}$ $1 / 2$ Komarov－Nosenko， Slavutich 2005 －well there are reasonable doubts that the game was really played） $25 . \mathrm{c} 7$ 留d6 26 ．朢c1 b5＝ with equality Kir．Georgiev－Komarov， Yugoslavia 1997

Topalov shows his preparation and improves upon the only preceding game with 22．3a3，Sammalvuo－ Veingold，Finnish ChT 1997，where White played 24．e4
24．．．씁xe8 25．d5！（D）


Black has an extra exchange，but White＇s c6 pawn is dangerous and his bishop pair is powerful．Certainly， Topalov hoped that he would have better chances here（at least from a purely practical point of view）．

## 25．．．h5

Played after a long think．．．Was Anand trying to find the objectively best plan？ Or maybe he wished to surprise Veselin and avoid any preparation？ The play now is complex，and rather unconcrete．
26．
Preserving two bishops．Black has nothing to worry about after 28．xf6 gxf6

## 28．．．씁e7

Possible was 28．．．． 7 c7？with idea to
 29．${ }^{\text {e }} 3$
29．f4！？（with idea of 30．c7）was called for．I am hesitating to name a clear reason as to why Veselin refused to make this move．

## 29．．．${ }^{\text {Mac7 }}$

Now the question to Viswanathan－ why not $29 . . . h 4$ ！？

## 

There certainly would have been a certain logic in 31．．． e h8！？，removing the king from a2－g8 diagonal．Anand might have disliked 32 ．甾e 4 with idea 32．．．d6 33．背f3
32．D 5 씁e8 33．씁f3 b5！？34．©e3
Topalov plays for a win．34．巛⿴囗十⺝刂灬5
 $35 .$. 自xc6＋leads to equality．
34．．．씁e5 35．씁d1 씁 e 8 36．씁 xh 5

With the bishop on e3，White has additional possibilities．

## 36．．．${ }^{\text {g }} \times 6$（D）



37．xa7
Interesting，but very uncertain was 37．씁g6！？씁 88 ． 1 d $4 \infty$

## 37．．．Ma6 38．d4

White exchanges his c6 pawn for two black pawns and preserves a very slight initiative
38．．． 8 f8 39．©e5 b4 40．씁f5 g6




## 45．．．${ }^{1} 3$

A somewhat careless move．
46． g ！
Creating concrete problems for Black．
46．．．씅 3 ？
Wrong way．Also not good was

 maintain balance： 47 ．${ }^{-1} \mathrm{~d} 3$ 皿b6 48 ．d8


## 47．씈d3！号b6？

47．．．씁b6？！does not prevent 48．d8！；
留d6 50．씁xb5 甾xd8 with realistic chances for a draw should have been preferred．

## 

After 48．．．씁C7 49．xb6 씁xb6 White can create the passed pawn by 50．a4！

| f7＋！ | 6xf7 | 50．M M ${ }^{\text {d }} 7+$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51．씅d8＋ | 697 | 52．씁 $\mathbf{C} 7+$ | 8 |

53．씁 $x b 6$ 씁 $\times 22$ 54．씁 $x g 6++-(D)$


White is obviously winning．Now he faces unexpected（at least for me as an online spectator）technical problems．．．
 $57 . \mathrm{h} 5$ b3 58．씁e4 b2 59．h6 \＄f 60．d4？！
The most clear way to victory was 60．g5！Me7 61．算xe7 是xe7 62．d4＋ （not 62．g6？？是f6－＋）62．．．${ }^{\text {bhh }}$ 7 63．xb2


## 60．．．${ }^{\text {Gg }}$ 61． $\mathrm{Df6}$ ？

61．$x$ xb2！？昷xb2 62．g5 ，most probably， was enough for victory．I remember a recent John Nunn article in New in Chess，devoted to such queen endgames，where the strongest side has two extra pawns（g＋h），but，as a rule（incredibly）can not win．Here Black also has the bishop，but White＇s third pawn on the f－file is more important！．

## 

After this move White should not win． But，honestly，I have no clue if he wins in other lines－e．g．， $62 . f 3$ emb

## 62．．．${ }^{\mu} \mathrm{H}$ b6！63．씁 $\mathrm{C} 4+$

Or 63．留b1 cheh
63．．．${ }^{\text {b／bh }} 7$ ！64．g5！
Threatening with mate in two．
64．．．．씁g6！？（D）


After this move，an extremely interesting situation arose－I would not claim to have mastered all its
nuances．In short，I did not find a win for White．But I have a necessary note that 64．．．苗xh6！？ $65 . g x h 6$ b1 甾 is a Draw （！）according to a 6－Man tablebase （the instructions for its online use can be found at www．chessassistance．com／News／6＿m an＿tbs．html）For example，66． $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{G}}$ e6留 $\mathrm{g} 1+67$ ． $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{f} 3$ 畑f1，and so on．

## 65．씅c7＋ㅐㅡㅇg8！66．씅b8＋

 position，which White would be happy to achieve－more about it later）；66．Me．c8＋Geb 7 ！

## 66．．．${ }^{\text {bibl}} 7$

聯xh7 69．Mexb2，this is one of main White＇s traps．

## 

Not 67．．．${ }^{\text {eg ge？}}$ 68．h7＋；And not
 70．h7 b1留 71．h8씁（D）


Now Black would be happy to get rid of his two queens（which would lead to stalemate），but this is impossible：


象d8 79．Meffo＋＋

##  70．쌩 $f 3+$ 的e7

 of two familiar winning positions：



## 71．씀e3＋䈓d7！？（D）



## 72．留d4＋？

Better 72．．．巛a7＋，continuing giving checks．（Still，I do not see a win．．．）

## 72．．．${ }^{\text {B }}$ e6？

72．．．씁d6＋！73．씁xd6＋붑xd6 74．h7 b1留 75．h8甾 聯g1＋76．皆f3 Qd1＋！with perpetual．But not 76．．．씁xg5？77．甾d $4+$ and．．．well，it is＂mate in 64＂according to Nalimov 5－Man online tablebases， http：／／mx2．lokasoft．com／uk／tbweb．ht m （77．．． $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{b} 8+$ ！？is＂mate in 74＂） 73．留xb2？73．算c $4+$ ！as far as I see


 （D）


75．．．${ }^{\mu} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{f} 5+$ ？I could not believe my eyes，seeing this move on ICC． $75 . .$. 留g6＋！was just a simple draw．I guess that the players were absolutely exhausted at this stage．．．76．${ }^{\text {beg e3 }}$留g5＋？76．．．自h3＋！＝draws，as claims the 6 －Man tablebase $77 . \mathbf{f 4}$ ！Now White is winning again 77．．．${ }^{\text {M }} \mathbf{g} 3^{+}$


 85．Mes e5＋The key winning idea was


 （D）


90．h7？90．．щh $\mathrm{H} 5+$（？？）would have led to a third repetition；90．ergg！＋＋－was still
winning．；While 90．留g5？留e1＋is a draw．90．．．Mel M ＋！＝Now the drawing mechanism is relatively simple to find．
91． 434
Mery $\mathrm{d} 1+$ ！
92．${ }^{6} \mathrm{~g} 5$
Mery $\mathrm{d} 8+$
93．붑h5 씅d5＋！94．씅g5 씅h1＋！ 95．씀h4 씅d5＋！96．븝g4 씁d1＋！


A huge disappointment for Topalov，I guess．While Anand could hardly be completely satisfied with the game as well．But the spectators were happy！ $1 / 2-1 / 2$

## Solution to our quiz：

S．Mannion－V．Sareen<br>Monarch Assurance（6），29．09．2005



63．．．g4！［63．．．gxh4？64．gxh4 Kf6 65．Kxc5 Kxf5 66．Kxb4 Kf4＝67．Kc3 （67．Kxa3 Kxf3 68．b4 e4 69．b5 e3 70．b6 e2 71．b7 e1Q 72．b8Q）67．．．Kxf3 68．Kd2 e4 69．Ke1 Ke3 70．b4 Kd4 71．Ke2 Kc4 72．Ke3 Kxb4 73．Kxe4 Kc3 74．Ke3 Kb2 75．Kd2 Kxa2 76．Kc2 Ka1 77．Kc1 a2 78．Kc2 stalemate］64．fxg4 e4！65．gxh5 e3 66．Kd3 c4＋！0－1
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